Document 45

Filed 07/07/25

Page 1 of 3

Case 4:25-cv-03302-YGR

1	Defendant BioMarin Pharm	
2	Ascendis) in the U.S. International	
3	Ascendis filed the instant declarato	
4	that all of its allegedly infringing a	
5	§ 271(e)(2). Ascendis has filed a M	
6	Harbor (Dkt. No. 32), which has be	
7	Dismiss, or in the Alternative, Mot	
8	which BioMarin argues that the saf	
9	Court.	
10	Ascendis has decided to acc	
11	in the ITC. Accordingly, Ascendis	
12	prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. I	
13	motion for summary judgment.	
14	Ascendis provides further n	
15	for non-infringement of U.S. Reiss	
16	pursuant to the mandatory stay pro-	
17	Ascendis is filing a new action rath	
18	action in order to avoid any possibl	
19	has been pending for more than 30	
20		
21		
22		

Defendant BioMarin Pharmaceutical, Inc., filed a complaint against Plaintiffs (collectively,
Ascendis) in the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) alleging patent infringement.
Ascendis filed the instant declaratory judgment action with the object of obtaining a declaration
that all of its allegedly infringing activities to date fall within the safe harbor of 35 U.S.C.
§ 271(e)(2). Ascendis has filed a Motion for a Speedy Hearing on the Applicability of the Safe
Harbor (Dkt. No. 32), which has been set for argument on July 15. BioMarin has filed a Motion to
Dismiss, or in the Alternative, Motion to Stay (Dkt. No. 31), also set for argument on July 15, in
which BioMarin argues that the safe-harbor issue should be litigated in the ITC rather than in this
Court.
Ascendis has decided to accede to BioMarin's preference to litigate the safe-harbor defense
in the ITC. Accordingly, Ascendis hereby gives notice of dismissal of the instant action without
prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i), as Defendant has not yet answered or filed a
motion for summary judgment.
Ascendis provides further notice of its intent to re-file a declaratory judgment complaint
for non-infringement of U.S. Reissue Patent No. 48,267, which new action it plans to stay
pursuant to the mandatory stay provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1659 in favor of parallel ITC proceedings
Ascendis is filing a new action rather than amending the complaint and moving to stay the instant
action in order to avoid any possible dispute about the applicability to the present action (which
has been pending for more than 30 days) of the mandatory stay provided by that statute.

1	Dated: July 7, 2025	/s/ Gabriel S. Gross		
2	Of Counsel:	Gabriel S. Gross (Cal. Bar No. 254672) Gabrielle LaHatte (Cal. Bar No. 321844)		
2		LATHAM & WATKINS LLP		
3	J.C. Rozendaal (pro hac vice)	505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000		
4	Michael Joffre (pro hac vice)	San Francisco, California 94111		
4	Deirdre Wells (pro hac vice)	Telephone: (415) 391-0600		
5	Josephine Kim (Cal. Bar No. 295152)	Email: gabe.gross@lw.com		
	Kristina Caggiano Kelly (pro hac vice)	Email: gabrielle.lahatte@lw.com		
6	STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN &			
7	FOX P.L.L.C. 1101 K Street, NW, 10th Floor	Jamie D. Underwood (pro hac vice)		
/	Washington, D.C. 20005	Michael A. David ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )  LATHAM & WATKINS LLP		
8	Phone: (202) 371-2600	555 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 1000		
	Email: jcrozendaal@sternekessler.com	Washington, D.C. 20004-1304		
9	Email: mjoffre@sternekessler.com	Telephone: (202) 637-2200		
10	Email: dwells@sternekessler.com	Email: jamie.underwood@lw.com		
	Email: joskim@sternekessler.com	Email: michael.david@lw.com		
11	Email: kckelly@sternekessler.com	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Ascendis Pharma A/S,		
12		Ascendis Pharma Growth Disorders A/S, and		
12		Ascendis Pharma, Inc.		
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		2		
	NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE			